

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,739	06/19/2006	Joel Choisnet	4590-420	5896
33308 LOWE HALIP	7590 11/26/2008 TMAN & BERNER, LL	EXAMINER		
1700 DIAGON	VAL ROAD, SUITE 300	RALIS, STEPHEN J		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/539,739		CHOISNET, JOEL		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	STEPHEN J. RALIS	3742		

	STEPHEN J. RALIS	3/42				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
periods:	of the final extension					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILE!						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		TINOT KEI ET WAOTI	LD WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period civil under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second sec	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	unit the time period section in or	Of 10 4 1.57 (a).				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or			ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			

12.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 11/06/2008

13. Other: _____

/TU B HOANG/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742

Stephen J Ralis Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to applicant's reply that Hancock et al. fails to disclose the control means for controlling a switch time of the electric switch control a voltage across terminals of the switch, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner has provided, on pages 35 of the final Office action, how Hancock et al. fully meets the limitations as noted above given its broadest reasonable interpretation. Furthermore, Hancock et al. explicitly discloses "after the power is turned on, the timer (15) begins supplying pulses to the set injust (17b) of the latch (17) having a duration and repetition rate as required by the application" (column 6, line 67 – column 7, line 3). Therefore, the examiner maintains the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection anticipated by Hancock et al.

With respect to applicant's reply that Prager fails to disclose the control means for controlling a switch time of the electric switch control a voltage across terminals of the switch, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner has provided, on pages 5-7 of the final Office action, how Prager fully meets the limitations as noted above given its broadest reasonable interpretation. Furthermore, Prager explicitly discloses "internal power switch 6 is controlled in dependency of the status of... timers provided within the control unit" (page 3, paragraph 33). Therefore, the examiner maintains the 53 U.S.C. 10/21 and (e) rejection anticiated by Prager.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "duty cycle" control) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Inhubugh the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).